Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Project:	Mossl Subdivision
Date:	

Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Impact Assessment

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.
Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	✔	
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	✔	
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	✔	
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	✔	
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	✔	
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	✔	
7. Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?	✔	
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	✔	
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	✔	
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	✔	
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	✔	
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	✔	
12. Is the potentially affected disadvantaged community identified as having comparatively higher burdens or vulnerabilities by the Disadvantaged Community Assessment Tool?	✔	
13. Will the proposed action cause or increase a pollution burden within a disadvantaged community?	✔	

Agency Use Only [If applicable]
Project: Mossl Subdivision
Date:

Short Environmental Assessment FormPart 3 Determination of Significance

For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur," or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

No question in Part 2 was answered "moderate to large impact may occur".

The proposed action is a subdivision of land only, and does not include plans for physical disturbance, construction, grading, clearing, or alteration of existing conditions.

Potential future development is reasonably forseeable, and would most likely involve the construction of residential dwellings on each of the two newly created vacant lots. Such construction may result in a small impact to the use or intensity of use of the land, due to some clearing of land, or new wells being drilled. Construction of residential dwellings would not introduce a use that is out of character with the surrounding land.

The Planning Board also noted a small impact in response to Question 8: "Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?" This was due to the EAF Mapper populating a "Yes" response to Question 12.b. "Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?" The Applicant submitted a letter dated _____ from the State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation stating that, "it is the opinion of OPRHP that no properties, including archaeological and/or historic resources, listed in or eligible for the New York State and National Registers of Historic Places will be impacted by this project." Therefore, no adverse impacts to archaeological resources are anticipated.

The Planning Board has determined that this application would result in a small impact, and that it will not be significant.

☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation,that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.

☑ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation,that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

Town of Kingston Planning Board	
Name of Lead Agency	Date
John-Paul Sliva	Chairman
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)